arXiv:0908.0554v19 [math.NT] 5 Apr 2010

ON THE NUMBER OF REPRESENTATIONS OF INTEGERS AS THE SUM OF A PRIME AND A k-TH POWER

ARAN NAYEBI

Abstract. Let $R_k(n)$ be the number of representations of an integer n as the sum of a prime and a k-th power for $k \geq 2$. Furthermore, set

$$E_k(X) = |\{n \leq X, n \in I_k, n \text{ not a sum of a prime and a } k\text{-th power}\}|.$$

In the present paper we use sieve techniques to obtain a strong upper bound on $R_k(n)$ for $n \leq X$ with no exceptions, and we improve upon the results of A. Zaccagnini to prove

$$E_k(X) \ll_k X^{1-181\log 2/1250k^3 \log k}.$$

We also briefly outline methods that can significantly improve the latter result to

$$E_k(X) \ll_k X^{1-1/k}.$$

1. Introduction

Let $R_k(n)$ be the number of representations of an integer n as the sum of a prime and a k-th power, let $\rho_k(p,n)$ be the number of solutions m to the congruence $m^k - n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ where $1 \leq m \leq p$, and let I_k denote the set of all natural numbers n such that $x^k - n$ is *irreducible* in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.

In this paper, we consider the following conjecture for an integer $k \geq 2$ and p prime,

Conjecture 1.

$$R_k(n) \sim \mathfrak{S}_k(n)\frac{n^{1/k}}{\log n}$$

where (provided that $n \in I_k$)

$$\mathfrak{S}_k(n) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\rho_k(p,n)-1}{p-1}\right).$$

Let $E_k(X)$ be the exceptional set for the number of solutions to the equation $n = p + m^k$, and is defined for an integer $k \geq 2$ as

$$E_k(X) = |\{n \leq X, n \in I_k, n \text{ not a sum of a prime and a } k\text{-th power}\}|.$$

Hardy and Littlewood [4] conjectured that for $k = 2$ and $k = 3$,

$$E_k(X) \ll_k 1.$$

In 1937, Davenport and Heilbronn [5] proved that Conjecture 1 holds for *almost* all natural numbers. In fact, they showed that

$$E_k(X) \ll_k X \log^{-c(k)} X \tag{1.0.1}$$

for some $c(k) > 0$. In 1968, Miech [16] proved that (1.0.1) holds for arbitrary $c(k) > 0$. Brünner, Perelli, and Pintz [14] *significantly* improved this result for $k = 2$ to prove that there exists an *effectively computable* constant δ (along with its implied constant) such that $E_2(X) \ll X^{1-\delta}$. Zaccagnini [1] generalized this result

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11P32 Secondary 11P55.

Key words and phrases. additive, prime, k-th power, circle method.

for $k \geq 3$ and hence proved that $E_k(X) \ll_k X^{1-\delta(k)}$ where $\delta(k)$ and the implied constant are effectively computable. For $k = 2$, Wang [18] made the computation of δ more rigorous and proved,

$$E_2(X) \ll X^{0.99}.$$

The exponent on $E_2(X)$ has been subsequently improved by Li [9] to 0.982.

Some work has been done in an attempt to the verify the asymptotic formula for $R_k(n)$ in Conjecture 1. Miech [16] proved for $k = 2$ that

$$R_2(n) = \mathfrak{S}_2(n)\frac{n^{1/2}}{\log n}\left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log\log n}{\log n}\right)\right) \tag{1.0.2}$$

holds for all but $O(X(\log X)^A)$ positive integers $n \leq X$ with any fixed $A < 0$. Kawada [12] extended this result for $k \geq 3$ to prove that

$$R_k(n) = \mathfrak{S}_k(n)\frac{n^{1/k}}{\log n}\left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log\log n}{\log n}\right)\right) \tag{1.0.3}$$

holds for all but $O(X(\log X)^A)$ positive integers $n \leq X$ with any fixed $A < 0$.

It is important to note that due to the possible existence of the Siegel zero, both (1.0.2) and (1.0.3) seem to be at present the best possible results. In this paper, we obtain the following

Corollary 2. *For a fixed $k \geq 2$ and $0 < n \leq N$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}(n) &\leq 2\prod_p\left(1 - \frac{\rho_k(p,n) - 1}{p-1}\right)\\ &\times\frac{X}{\log X}\left\{1 + O\left(\frac{\log\log 3X}{\log X}\right)\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{1.0.4}$$

holds for all *integers, where $\rho_k(p,n)$ is the number of solutions m to the congruence $m^k - n \equiv 0 \pmod p$, $1 \leq m \leq p$, $u \geq 1$, $X^{1/u} \geq 2$, and the O constants depend at most upon the degree and coefficients of $R_k(n)$. Note that $R_k(n)$ can be 1 if $n \notin I_k$.*

Theorem 3. *For a fixed integer $k \geq 2$,*

$$E_k(X) \ll_k X^{1-181\log 2/1250k^3\log k}. \tag{1.0.5}$$

In the last section of this paper, we also briefly outline new methods that can significantly improve Theorem 3 to

$$E_k(X) \ll_k X^{1-1/k}. \tag{1.0.6}$$

We intend to present an explicit and rigorous proof of (1.0.6) in a later paper.

2. PROOF OF COROLLARY 2

Let $\mathscr{A}$ stand for a general integer sequence to be "sifted" and let $\mathfrak{P}$ stand for a "sifting" set of primes. Moreover, $S(\mathscr{A};\mathfrak{P},z)$ is a sifting function where $z \geq 2$ is a real number. In the case of the present problem, we are sifting the set of numbers $n - m^k$ in order to estimate how often it is prime. The appropriate method we will utilize is to obtain a Selberg upper bound for $S(\mathscr{A};\mathfrak{P},z)$. Typically, an upper bound produced by Selberg's method is of $\ll$-type; however, by incorporating several ingenious theorems of Halberstam and Richert [7], we can yield more explicit estimates. We should note that although Corollary 2 can be easily derived from [7], neither the problem of the sum of a prime nor the problem of the sum of a prime and a k-th power is dealt with in [7].

The sequence that is to be sifted for $1 < Y \leq X$ is

$$\mathscr{A} = \{n - m^k : X - Y < n \leq X\}$$

Lemma 4. *Let* $F_1(n), \cdots, F_g(n)$ *be distinct irreducible with integral and positive leading coefficients where* $F(n) = F_1(n) \cdots F_g(n)$. *Let* $\rho(p,n)$ *denote the number of solutions to the congruence*

$$F(n) \equiv 0 \pmod p,$$

and let X *and* Y *be real numbers satisfying*

$$1 < Y \leq X.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &|\{n : X - Y < n \leq X,\ F_i(n) \text{ prime for } i = 1, \cdots, g\}| \\ &\leq 2^g g! \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\rho(p,n) - 1}{p - 1}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-g+1} \\ &\times \frac{Y}{\log^g Y}\left\{1 + O_F\left(\frac{\log\log 3Y}{\log Y}\right)\right\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.0.7}$$

Proof. Lemma 4 is essentially Theorem 5.3 of Halberstam and Richert [7]. ■

Remark 2.0.1. It is important to note that the O constant in (2.0.7) is independent of X and Y, but it may depend upon the degrees and coefficients of F.

We take

$$g = 1, \quad Y = X,$$

and $\rho_k(p,n)$ to denote the number of solutions to the congruence

$$m^k - n \equiv 0 \pmod p,$$

with n constant for the purposes of the congruence.
Hence, we obtain from Lemma 4,

$$\begin{aligned} |\{n : X - Y < n \leq X, n - m^k = p\}| &\leq 2 \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\rho_k(p,n) - 1}{p - 1}\right) \\ &\times \frac{Y}{\log Y}\left\{1 + O\left(\frac{\log\log 3Y}{\log Y}\right)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, our Corollary 2 follows.

2.1. **Notation.** We introduce the following nomenclature:
$e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$, $e_q(x) = e(x/q)$; p is a prime number; $\varphi(n)$ is Euler's totient function; $\mu(n)$ is Möbius' function; $\omega(n) = \sum_{p|n} 1$; cond χ is the conductor of the Dirichlet character χ; $\log_j X$ denotes the j-fold iteration of the natural logarithm; $|\mathcal{A}|$ is the cardinality of set $\mathcal{A}$; ϵ denotes a sufficiently small positive integer; X, T, P, and Q will denote large positive numbers; the implied effectively computable constants in the $\ll$ and O-notations depend at most on k; $\chi_{0,q}$ denotes the principal character $\bmod q$; and let $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ denote the zeros of the L-functions. We set

$$I = I(X) = \left[\frac{X}{2}, X\right] \cap \mathbb{N},$$

$$J = J_k(X) = \left[\frac{X^{1/k}}{2}, X\right] \cap \mathbb{N}.$$

$$S(\alpha) = \sum_{p \in I(X)} \log p e(\alpha p); \quad F_k(\alpha) = \sum_{j \in J_k(X)} e(\alpha j^k),$$

such that

$$S(\alpha)F_k(\alpha) = \sum_{X/2 \leq n < 2X} r_k(X,n) e(n\alpha),$$

where

$$r_k(X,n) = \sum_{\substack{n=j^k+p\\ j\in J_k(X)\\ p\in I(X)}} \log p.$$

Moreover,

$$S(\chi,\eta) = \sum_{p\in I(X)} \chi(p)\log p e(p\eta); \quad V_k(a,q) = \sum_{h \mod q} e_q(ah^k);$$

$$T_\rho(\eta) = \sum_{m\in I(X)} m^{\rho-1} e(m\eta); \quad T(\eta) = T_1(\eta).$$

$\tau(\chi) = \sum_{a(q)} \chi(a) e_q(a)$ is the Gauss sum, where

$$\sum_{a(q)} = \sum_{a \mod q} = \sum_{a=1}^{q}; \quad \sum_{a(q)}{}^{*} = \sum_{a \mod q}{}^{*} = \sum_{\substack{a=1\\ \gcd(a,q)=1}}^{q};$$

$$\sum_{\chi(q)} = \sum_{\chi \mod q}; \quad \sum_{\chi(q)}{}^{*} = \sum_{\substack{\chi \mod q\\ \chi\text{primitive}}}.$$

Furthermore,

$$H_k(\chi,q,n) = \sum_{a(q)} \chi(a) V_k(a,q) e_q(-na); \quad H_k(q,n) = H_k(\chi_{0,q},q,n);$$

$$L_\rho(X,n) = \sum_{\substack{n=j^k+m\\ j\in J_k(X)\\ m\in I(X)}} m^{\rho-1}; \quad L(X,n) = L_1(X,n);$$

$$\mathfrak{S}(n,R,r) = \sum_{\substack{q\le R\\ \gcd(q,r)=1}} \frac{\mu(q)}{q\varphi(q)} H_k(q,n); \quad \mathfrak{S}(n,R) = \mathfrak{S}(n,R,1);$$

$$T(\chi,r,n) = \frac{\tau(\overline{\chi}) H_k(\chi,r,n)}{r\varphi(r)}.$$

$$\rho_k(d,n) = |\{h \mod d, h^k \equiv n \mod d\}|;$$

$$R_{s,k}(n) = |\{(n_1,\cdots,n_s)\in\mathbb{N}^s, n_1^k+\cdots+n_s^k = n\}|.$$

For brevity's sake, we shall sometimes omit the suffix k from our functions.

2.2. **Dissection of the Unit Interval.** We need the following

Lemma 5. *For a sufficiently large positive number P, all the functions $L(s,\chi)$ with primitive character χ modulo $q\le P$, except for a possible exceptional one, have no zero in the region*

$$\sigma \ge 1 - \frac{0.239}{\log PT}, \quad |t| \le T^{4k-7}.$$

If the exceptional function $L(s,\tilde{\chi})$ exists, $\tilde{\chi}$ must then be an exceptional character modulo $\tilde{r}$, $\tilde{r}\le P$, and $L(s,\tilde{\chi})$ has a real zero $\tilde{\beta}$ (known as a Siegel zero relative to P) which satisfies

$$1 - \frac{0.239}{\log PT} \le \tilde{\beta} \le 1 - \frac{c}{\tilde{r}^{10^{-8}}}.$$

Proof. Apply Lemma 2 of Li [10]. ■

Let $\mathcal{L} = \log PT$,

$$\alpha := 1 - \lambda\mathcal{L}^{-1}, \quad \lambda \le \epsilon\mathcal{L}, \tag{2.2.1}$$

$$D(\lambda, T^{4k-7}) := D := \{s = \sigma + it : \alpha \le \sigma \le 1 - 0.239\mathcal{L}^{-1}, \quad |t| \le T^{4k-7}\}. \tag{2.2.2}$$

Let $N(\chi, \alpha, T^{4k-7})$ denote the number of zeros of $L(s,\chi)$ in D, and

$$N^*(\alpha, P, T^{4k-7}) = \sum_{q\le P} \sum_{\chi \mod q} {}^* N(\chi, \alpha, T^{4k-7}). \tag{2.2.3}$$

Lemma 6. *Let $T^{4k-7} = P^{4k-7.9999}$, and if $N^*(\alpha, P, T^{4k-7})$ and $\alpha = 1 - \lambda\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ are defined as in* (2.2.1) *and* (2.2.3)*, then*

$$N^*(\alpha, P, T^{4k-7}) \le \begin{cases} 2, & \lambda \le 0.334; \\ 9.42(P^2T^{4k-7})^{4.2(1-\alpha)}, & 0.334 < \lambda \le 0.618; \\ 12(P^2T^{4k-7})^{4.5(1-\alpha)}, & 0.618 < \lambda \le 1; \\ 53(P^2T^{4k-7})^{3.42(1-\alpha)}, & 1 < \lambda \le 5; \\ 135(P^2T^{4k-7})^{2.16(1-\alpha)}, & 5 < \lambda \le \epsilon\mathcal{L}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Lemma 6 is a generalization to $k \ge 2$ of Lemma 3.2 of Li [9]. ■

Lemma 7. *For any $x \ge 1$ and $y \ge 2$, let*

$$N(\alpha, x, y) := \sum_{q\le x} \sum_{\chi \mod q} {}^* \sum_{\substack{\rho=\beta+i\gamma \\ |\gamma|\le y, \beta\ge\alpha}} 1,$$

and thus,

$$N(\alpha, x, y) \ll (x^2y)^{(12/5+\epsilon)(1-\alpha)}, \quad \textit{for } 1/2 \le \alpha \le 1, \tag{2.2.4}$$

and

$$N(\alpha, x, y) \ll (x^2y)^{(2+\epsilon)(1-\alpha)}, \quad \textit{for } 4/5 \le \alpha \le 1. \tag{2.2.5}$$

Proof. Lemma 7 is Lemma 3.3 of Li [9]. ■

Lemma 8. *Suppose that the exceptional primitive real character $\tilde{\chi} \mod \tilde{r}$ exists and the exceptional zero $\tilde{\beta}$ of $L(s,\tilde{\chi})$ satisfies $\tilde{\delta}\log P \le 0.239$ where $\tilde{\delta} = 1 - \tilde{\beta}$. Let χ_q be a primitive character $\mod q$ and let $\rho = \beta + i\gamma = 1 - \delta + i\gamma$ a zero of $L(s,\chi_q)$ with $0 < \delta < \epsilon$. Suppose that $D_1 \ge [q, \tilde{r}]\,(|\gamma| + 1)$ and $D_1 \ge D_1(\epsilon)$. Then*

$$\tilde{\delta} \ge \left(\frac{2}{3} - \epsilon\right)(1 - 6\delta){D_1}^{-(3/2+\epsilon)\delta/(1-6\delta)}/\log D_1.$$

Proof. Lemma 8 is Lemma 9 of Li [10]. ■

Let

$$P_1 = X^{0.0181}. \tag{2.2.6}$$

We define the P_1-excluded zeros as the zeros of the function $L(s,\chi)$, where χ is any primitive character mod q, $q \le P_1$, lying in the region

$$\sigma \ge 1 - \frac{(4k-2.6)\log_2 X}{\log X}, \quad |t| \le P_1^{4k-4.999},$$

where the *possible* Siegel zero is excluded. Next, define the P_1-excluded characters as the primitive characters $\chi \mod r$ for $r \leq P_1$, such that $L(\rho, \chi) = 0$, where ρ is a P_1-excluded zero. The P_1-excluded moduli are the moduli of the P_1-excluded characters.

From Lemma 7 it follows that

$$N\left(1 - \frac{(4k-2.6)\log_2 X}{\log X}, P_1, P_1^{4k-4.999}\right) \ll_k (\log x)^{0.983},$$

and as a result,

$$|\{P_1\text{-excluded zeros}\}| \ll_k (\log X)^{0.983}. \tag{2.2.7}$$

From now on we shall utilize the following nomenclature:

$$P = X^b, \quad Q = XP^{-4k+5.9995}, \quad G = G(P) = \begin{cases} (1-\tilde{\beta})\log P, & \text{if } \tilde{\beta} \text{ exists;} \\ 1, & \text{if otherwise .} \end{cases} \tag{2.2.8}$$

$$\mathscr{E} = \{P\text{-excluded characters}\}, \quad \mathscr{E}' = \{P\text{-excluded zeros}\}. \tag{2.2.9}$$

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\text{Siegel character (relative to } P)\}, \quad \mathcal{S}' = \{\text{Siegel zero (relative to } P)\}. \tag{2.2.10}$$

For $q \leq P$ and $\gcd(a,q) = 1$ we let $\mathscr{M}(a,q)$ denote the major arc

$$\left[\frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{qQ}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{qQ}\right].$$

Note that the major arcs are non-overlapping. Set

$$\mathscr{M} = \bigcup_{q \leq P} \bigcup_{\substack{a=1 \\ \gcd(a,q)=1}}^{q} \mathscr{M}(a,q).$$

Furthermore, let m denote the minor arcs,

$$m = \left[\frac{1}{Q}, 1 + \frac{1}{Q}\right] - \mathscr{M}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} r(X,n) = \int_{1/Q}^{1+1/Q} F_k(\alpha)S(\alpha)e(-n\alpha)d\alpha = \int_{\mathscr{M}} F_k(\alpha)S(\alpha)e(-n\alpha)d\alpha + \int_{m} F_k(\alpha)S(\alpha)e(-n\alpha)d\alpha \\ = r_1(X,n) + r_2(X,n). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.11}$$

It is clear that $r_1(X,n)$ and $r_2(X,n)$ are real since the sets $\mathscr{M}$ and m are even $\mod 1$.

2.3. **Arithmetic Lemmas.**

In this section and the next section, we incorporate the methods of Zaccagnini [1] which largely follow from the arguments of Brünner, Perelli, and Pintz [14]. Lemmas that are stated without proof are already proven by Zaccagnini [1]. Note that in this section and the next section, our estimates are not the sharpest known ones, but will be sufficient for the purposes contained herein.

Lemma 9. *Let* $\gcd(q_1, q_2) = 1$, *and* χ_i *be characters* $\mod q_i$. *Then*

$$H_k(\chi_1\chi_2, q_1q_2, n) = \chi_1(q_2)\chi_2(q_1)H_k(\chi_1, q_1, n)H_k(\chi_2, q_2, n).$$

Proof. This lemma follows from the Chinese Remainder Theorem. ■

Lemma 10. $H_k(p,n) = p(\rho_k(p,n) - 1)$. *If* $\mu(q) \neq 0$ *then* $|H_k(q,n)| \leq q(k-1)^{\omega(q)}$.

Lemma 11. *Let* $\chi \mod q$ *be induced by* $\chi^* \mod r$. *Then*

$$\tau(\chi) = \mu\left(\frac{q}{r}\right)\chi^*\left(\frac{q}{r}\right)\tau(\chi^*)$$

and

$$|\tau(\chi^*)| = r^{1/2}.$$

Proof. This lemma follows from Lemma 5.2 of [8] and [6, (9)] of §9. ■

Lemma 12. *Let* $\chi \mod q$ *be a primitive character. Then*

$$|H_k(\chi, q, n)| \le q^{3/2} \prod_{p|q}\left(1 - \frac{\rho_k(p,n)}{p}\right) \le q^{3/2}.$$

Proof. This lemma follows from Lemma 5 of Brünner, Perelli, and Pintz [14]. ■

Lemma 13. *Let* $A \in \mathbb{N}$. *We have*

$$\sum_{m \le X} A^{\omega(n)} \ll X(\log X)^{A-1}.$$

Lemma 14. *Let* $\chi \mod r$ *be a primitive character. Then we have, for* $P > r$ *and* $n \in [(99/100)X, X]$

$$\sum_{\substack{q \le P \\ r|q}} \frac{|\tau(\overline{\chi_{0,q}\chi}) H_k(\chi_{0,q}\chi, q, n)|}{q\varphi(q)} \ll (\log P)^k.$$

2.4. **Analytic Lemmas.**

Lemma 15. *Let* $\gcd(a,q) = 1$. *Then*

$$F_k\left(\frac{a}{q} + \eta\right) = \frac{V_k(a,q)}{q} F_k(\eta) + O\left(q\left(1 + X|\eta|\right)\right).$$

If $P < q \le Q$ *and* $|\eta| \le \frac{1}{qQ}$ *then for a suitable constant* $\theta = \theta(k) > 0$,

$$F_k\left(\frac{a}{q} + \eta\right) \ll \frac{X^{1/k}}{P^{\theta}}.$$

Proof. The first and second inequalities follow from Lemma 3 of [11] and Lemma 2.4 of [15], respectively. ■

Lemma 16. *For any integer* $s \ge ck^2 \log k$; *where* c *is a suitable absolute constant, we have*

$$\int_0^1 |F_k(\eta)|^{2s} \ll X^{2s/k-1}.$$

Lemma 17. *Let* $u_1, \cdots, u_N$ *be real numbers. For any* $\delta > 0$

$$\int_{-\delta}^{\delta} |\sum_{n \le N} u_n e(n\eta)|^2 d\eta \ll \delta^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\sum_{t}^{t+2(\delta)^{-1}} u_n|^2 dt.$$

Proof. This lemma is Lemma 1 of Gallagher [13]. ■

Lemma 18. *Let* $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{Q}$. *Then*

$$\int_{-\delta}^{\delta} |F_k(\eta)| d\eta \ll X^{2/k-1}.$$

Lemma 19. *Let* $|\gamma| \le \frac{X}{qQ}$ *and* $\frac{1}{qQ} \le |\eta| \le \frac{1}{2}$. *Then*

$$T_\rho(\eta) \ll \frac{X^{\beta-1}}{|\eta|}.$$

Proof. This lemma is Lemma 12 of Brünner, Perelli, and Pintz [14]. ■

Lemma 20. *Let* $\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2^k}\right)X \le n \le X$*;* $|\gamma| \le \frac{1}{k}X^{1/k}$*. Then there exists a positive constant* c_5 *such that*

$$|L_\rho(X,n)| \le c_5 \frac{X^{1/k}}{1+|\gamma|} X^{\beta-1}.$$

Lemma 21. *If* $|\gamma| \le \frac{1}{k}X^{1/k}$ *then*

$$\int_0^1 |F_k(\eta)T_\rho(\eta)|^2 d\eta \ll \frac{X^{1+2/k}}{1+|\gamma|^2} X^{2\beta-2}.$$

Proof. This proof is essentially the same as the proof of Lemma 14 of Brünner, Perelli, and Pintz [14]. ■

Lemma 22. *Let* s *be as in Lemma 16 and* $\lambda = \frac{2k+1}{s}$*. If* $|\gamma| \le \frac{X}{qQ}$ *and* $q \le P$*, we have*

$$\int_{1/qQ}^{1/2} |F_k(\eta)T_\rho(\eta)| d\eta \ll X^{1/k+\beta-1}P^{-\lambda}.$$

Lemma 23. *Let* $n \in [(99/100)X, X]$ *and let* $c_7 \le 1$ *be a constant. Then there exists a positive constant* c_6 *which depends on* c_7 *such that for* X *sufficiently large,*

$$L(X,n) - c_7 L_{\tilde{\beta}}(X,n) \ge c_6 G X^{1/k}.$$

2.5. **The Minor Arcs.** From Bessel's inequality and the prime number theorem we can derive an upper bound for the contribution of minor arcs as such,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{(99/100)X \le n < X} r_2(X,n)^2 \le \int_m |F_k(\alpha)S(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha &\le \int_0^1 |S(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \cdot \sup_{\alpha\in m} |F_k(\alpha)|^2 \\ &\ll X\log X \cdot \sup_{\alpha \in m} |F_k(\alpha)|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Hence from Lemma 15 we derive

$$\sum_{(99/100)X \le n < X} r_2(X,n)^2 \ll \frac{X^{1+2/k}}{P^{2\theta}} \log X. \tag{2.5.1}$$

2.6. **The Major Arcs.** We now consider the integral on the major arcs. For $a \in \mathscr{M}(a,q)$, we write $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \eta, \quad \gcd(a,q) = 1, \quad q \le P$. As in §6 of Brünner, Perelli, and Pintz [14], we define $W(\chi,\eta)$ as follows

(2.6.1)

$$W(\chi,\eta) = \begin{cases} S(\chi_{0,q},\eta) - T(\eta) & \text{if } \chi = \chi_{0,q}; \\ S(\chi_{0,q}\chi^*,\eta) + \displaystyle\sum_{\substack{\rho\in\mathscr{E}'\cup\mathcal{S}' \\ L(\rho,\chi^*)=0}} T_\rho(\eta) & \text{if } \chi \mod q \text{ is induced by } \chi^* \in \mathscr{E}\cup\mathcal{S} \text{ and } \chi = \chi_{0,q}\chi^*; \\ S(\chi,\eta) & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$S\left(\frac{a}{q}+\eta\right) = \frac{\mu(q)}{\varphi(q)}T(\eta) - \sum_{\substack{\chi\in\mathscr{E}\cup\mathcal{S} \\ \text{cond } \chi | q}} \sum_{\substack{\rho\in\mathscr{E}'\cup\mathcal{S}' \\ L(\rho,\chi)=0}} \frac{\chi_{0,q}\chi(a)\tau(\overline{\chi_{0,q}\chi})}{\varphi(q)} T_\rho(\eta) + \frac{1}{\varphi(q)}\sum_{\chi(q)} \tau(\overline{\chi})\chi(a)W(\chi,\eta). \tag{2.6.2}$$

From Lemma 15 it follows

$$F_k\left(\frac{a}{q}+\eta\right) = \frac{V_k(a,q)}{q}F_k(\eta) + \Delta_k(a,q,\eta) \tag{2.6.3}$$

where

$$\Delta_k(a,q,\eta) \ll q\left(1+X|\eta|\right). \tag{2.6.4}$$

By substituting (2.6.2) and (2.6.3) into the definition of $r_1(X,n)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
r_1(X,n) &= \sum_{q\le P}\sum_{a(q)}{}^{*} e_q(-an)\int_{-1/qQ}^{1/qQ} F_k\left(\frac{a}{q}+\eta\right) S\left(\frac{a}{q}+\eta\right) e(-n\eta)d\eta \\
&= \sum_{q\le P}\frac{\mu(q)}{q\varphi(q)}H_k(q,n)\int_{-1/qQ}^{1/qQ} F_k(\eta)T(\eta)e(-n\eta)d\eta \\
&\quad + \sum_{q\le P}\frac{1}{q}\sum_{a(q)}{}^{*} V_k(a,q)e_q(-an)\int_{-1/qQ}^{1/qQ} F_k(\eta)\frac{1}{\varphi(q)}\sum_{\chi(q)}\tau(\overline{\chi})\chi(a)W(\chi,\eta)e(-n\eta)d\eta \\
&\quad + \sum_{q\le P}\frac{1}{q}\sum_{a(q)}{}^{*} V_k(a,q)e_q(-an)\int_{-1/qQ}^{1/qQ} -F_k(\eta)\sum_{\substack{\chi\in\mathscr{E}\cup\mathcal{S}\\ \text{cond }\chi|q}}\ \sum_{\substack{\rho\in\mathscr{E}'\cup\mathcal{S}'\\ L(\rho,\chi)=0}}\frac{\chi_{0,q}\chi(a)\tau(\overline{\chi_{0,q}\chi})}{\varphi(q)}T_\rho(\eta)e(-n\eta)d\eta \\
&\quad + \sum_{q\le P}\sum_{a(q)}{}^{*} e_q(-an)\int_{-1/qQ}^{1/qQ}\delta_k(a,q,\eta)S\left(\frac{a}{q}+\eta\right)e(-n\eta)d\eta \\
&= S_1+S_2+S_3+S_4.
\end{aligned}$$

2.7. **Estimate of S_1.** From the previous section, we have

$$S_1=\sum_{q\le P}\frac{\mu(q)}{q\varphi(q)}H_k(q,n)\int_{-1/qQ}^{1/qQ}F_k(\eta)T(\eta)e(-n\eta)d\eta+O\left(\sum_{q\le P}\frac{\mu^2(q)}{q\varphi(q)}|H_k(q,n)|\int_{1/qQ}^{1/2}|F_k(\eta)T(\eta)|\right). \tag{2.7.1}$$

By Lemma 10, Lemma 13, and Lemma 22 the size of the error term is

$$\ll\sum_{q\le P}\frac{(k-1)^{\omega(q)}}{\varphi(q)}X^{1/k}P^{-\lambda}\ll X^{1/k}P^{-4k+7.75}. \tag{2.7.2}$$

Since

$$L(X,n)=\int_0^1 F_k(\eta)T(\eta)e(-n\eta)d\eta,$$

we have by (2.7.1) and (2.7.2),

$$S_1=\mathfrak{S}(n,P)L(X,n)+O\left(X^{1/k}P^{-4k+7.75}\right). \tag{2.7.3}$$

2.8. **Estimate of S_2.** As in Zaccagnini [1, (9.1)],

$$S_2\ll X^{(2-k)/2k}(\log X)^k\sum_{r\le P}\sum_{\chi(r)}{}^{*}\left(\int_{-1/rQ}^{1/rQ}|W(\chi,\eta)|^2d\eta\right)^{1/2}. \tag{2.8.1}$$

Let

$$\sum_{t}^{t+h}{}^{\#}\chi(p)\log p=\begin{cases}\displaystyle\sum_{t}^{t+h}\log p-\sum_{m=t}^{t+h}1 & \text{if } r=1;\\[2ex] \displaystyle\sum_{t}^{t+h}\chi(p)\log p+\sum_{\substack{\rho\in\mathscr{E}'\cup\mathcal{S}'\\ L(\rho,\chi)=0}}\sum_{m=t}^{t+h}m^{\rho-1} & \text{if } r>1.\end{cases} \tag{2.8.2}$$

Using the same arguments as §9 of Brünner, Perelli, and Pintz [14] and using (2.8.1), we obtain

$$S_2\ll X^{1/k}(\log X)^k\sum_{r\le P}\sum_{\chi(r)}{}^{*}\max_{X/4\le t\le X}\ \max_{Q/2\le h\le PQ}\frac{1}{h}\left|\sum_{t}^{t+h}{}^{\#}\chi(p)\log p\right|=X^{1/k}(\log X)^k\cdot W. \tag{2.8.3}$$

By Lemma 11 of Chapter 5 of Chengdong Pan and Chengbiao Pan [2] we have

$$\sum_{p\le y}\chi(p)\log p = E_0 y - \sum_{|\gamma|\le P^{4k-4.999}}\frac{y^{\rho}}{\rho} + O(yP^{-4k-4.999}\log^2 y),$$

where $E_0 = 1$ if $\chi = \chi_{0,r}$ and $E_0 = 0$ if otherwise. Thus, since

$$\int_t^{t+h} u^{\rho-1}du = \frac{(t+h)^{\rho}}{\rho} - \frac{t^{\rho}}{\rho},$$

then

$$\sum_t^{t+h}\chi(p)\log p = E_0 h - \sum_{|\gamma|\le P^{4k-4.999}}\left(\frac{(t+h)^{\rho}}{\rho} - \frac{t^{\rho}}{\rho}\right) + O(XP^{-4k-4.999}\log^2 X).$$

As a result,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\sum_t^{t+h}{}^{\#}\chi(p)\log p\right| &= \left|\sum_{\substack{\rho\notin\mathscr{E}'\cup\mathcal{S}',|\gamma|\le P^{4k-4.999}\\ L(\rho,\chi)=0}}\int_t^{t+h}u^{\rho-1}du\right| + O(XP^{-4k-4.999}\log^2 X)\\ &\ll \sum_{\substack{\rho\notin\mathscr{E}'\cup\mathcal{S}',|\gamma|\le P^{4k-4.999}\\ L(\rho,\chi)=0}}\min\{hX^{\beta-1}, |\gamma|^{-1}X^{\beta}\} + O(XP^{-4k-4.999}\log^2 X),\end{aligned}$$

and

(2.8.4)

$$\begin{aligned}W \ll \sum_{r\le P}\sum_{\chi \bmod r}{}^{*}&\left(\sum_{\substack{\rho\notin\mathscr{E}'\cup\mathcal{S}',|\gamma|\le P^{4k-4.999}\\ L(\rho,\chi)=0}}X^{\beta-1} + P^{-4k+7.9995}\sum_{\substack{\rho\notin\mathscr{E}'\cup\mathcal{S}',P^{4k-5.999}\le|\gamma|\le P^{4k-4.999}\\ L(\rho,\chi)=0}}X^{\beta-1}\right)\\ &\qquad + O(P^{-4k+7.9995}\log^2 X) \ll P^{-4k+7.9995}\log^2 X\\ +\sum_{r\le P}\sum_{\chi \bmod r}{}^{*}&\sum_{\substack{\rho\notin\mathscr{E}'\cup\mathcal{S}',|\gamma|\le P^{4k-4.999}\\ L(\rho,\chi)=0}}X^{\beta-1}P^{-4k+7.9995}\sum_{r\le P}\sum_{\chi \bmod r}{}^{*}\sum_{\substack{\rho\notin\mathscr{E}'\cup\mathcal{S}',P^{4k-5.999}\le|\gamma|\le P^{4k-4.999}\\ L(\rho,\chi)=0}}X^{\beta-1}.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7 we derive,

$$\sum_{r\le P}\sum_{\chi \bmod r}{}^{*}\sum_{\substack{\rho\notin\mathscr{E}'\cup\mathcal{S}',|\gamma|\le P^{4k-4.999}\\ L(\rho,\chi)=0}}X^{\beta-1} = -\int_0^{1-\frac{(4k-2.6)\log_2 X}{\log X}}X^{\alpha-1}dN(\alpha,P,P^{4k-4.999}) = O(1), \tag{2.8.5}$$

(2.8.6)

$$\sum_{r\le P}\sum_{\chi \bmod r}{}^{*}\sum_{\substack{\rho\notin\mathscr{E}'\cup\mathcal{S}',|\gamma|\le P^{4k-5.999}\\ L(\rho,\chi)=0}}X^{\beta-1} = -\int_0^{1-\frac{(4k-2.6)\log_2 X}{\log X}}X^{\alpha-1}dN(\alpha,P,P^{4k-5.999}) \ll (\log X)^{-4k+3.387}.$$

By Lemma 8, let $D_1 = P^{4k-3.999}$, then

$$\tilde{\delta} \ge \left(\frac{2}{3}-\epsilon\right)(1-6\delta)P^{4k-3.999-(3/2+\epsilon)\delta/(1-6\delta)}/\log P^{4k-3.999}.$$

If a Siegel zero exists,

$$\sum_{r\le P}\sum_{\chi \bmod r}{}^{*}\sum_{\substack{\rho\notin\mathscr{E}'\cup\mathcal{S}',|\gamma|\le P^{4k-5.999}\\ L(\rho,\chi)=0}}X^{\beta-1} \ll G^{4k-0.18}. \tag{2.8.7}$$

Thus,

$$S_2 \ll \begin{cases} X^{1/k}P^{-4k+7.9996} + X^{1/k}(\log X)^{-4k+5.387} & \text{if } G \geq (\log X)^{-0.59}; \\ \\ X^{1/k}P^{-4k+7.9996} + X^{1/k}G^{4k-0.18}\log^2 X, & \text{if } G \leq (\log X)^{-0.59}. \end{cases} \tag{2.8.8}$$

2.9. **Estimate of S_3.** Let

$$\mathscr{E}_1' = \{\rho \in \mathscr{E}' \cup \mathcal{S}' : |\gamma| \leq P^{2k-2.9996}, \mathscr{E}_2' = \mathscr{E}' - \mathscr{E}_1'.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} S_3 = & -\sum_{\chi\in\mathscr{E}\cup\mathcal{S}} \sum_{\substack{q\leq P \\ \text{cond } \chi|q}} \frac{\tau(\overline{\chi_{0,q}\chi})}{q\varphi(q)} H_k(\chi_{0,q}\chi, q, n) \sum_{\substack{\rho\in\mathscr{E}_1' \\ L(\rho,\chi)=0}} \int_{-1/qQ}^{1/qQ} F_k(\eta)T_\rho(\eta)e(-n\eta)d\eta \\ & -\sum_{\chi\in\mathscr{E}\cup\mathcal{S}} \sum_{\substack{q\leq P \\ \text{cond } \chi|q}} \frac{\tau(\overline{\chi_{0,q}\chi})}{q\varphi(q)} H_k(\chi_{0,q}\chi, q, n) \sum_{\substack{\rho\in\mathscr{E}_2' \\ L(\rho,\chi)=0}} \int_{-1/qQ}^{1/qQ} F_k(\eta)T_\rho(\eta)e(-n\eta)d\eta \\ & = S_{3,1} + S_{3,2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9.1}$$

$S_{3,1}$ will be treated as a secondary main term and $S_{3,2}$ will be treated as an error term. By (2.2.7) and arguing as in §7 of Li [9],

$$S_{3,1} = -\sum_{r\leq P} \sum_{\substack{\chi \mod r \\ \chi\in\mathscr{E}\cup\mathcal{S}}} T(\chi, r, n)\mathfrak{S}\left(n, \frac{P}{r}, r\right) \sum_{\substack{\rho\in\mathscr{E}_1' \\ L(\rho,\chi)=0}} L_\rho(\chi, n) + O(X^{1/k}P^{-4k+7.8}). \tag{2.9.2}$$

As in Brünner, Perelli, and Pintz [14, (22),(23)] and by (4.2) we have $|\mathscr{E}'| \ll (\log X)^{4k-8}$, and thus arguing as in §7 of Li [9],

$$S_{3,2} \ll X^{1/k}P^{-4k+7.9999}. \tag{2.9.3}$$

As a result,

$$S_3 = -\sum_{r\leq P} \sum_{\substack{\chi \mod r \\ \chi\in\mathscr{E}\cup\mathcal{S}}} T(\chi, r, n)\mathfrak{S}\left(n, \frac{P}{r}, r\right) \sum_{\substack{\rho\in\mathscr{E}_1' \\ L(\rho,\chi)=0}} L_\rho(\chi, n) + O(X^{1/k}P^{-4k+7.9999}). \tag{2.9.4}$$

2.10. **Estimate of S_4.** As in §5 of Li [9] we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_4 & \ll \sum_{q\leq P} \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{a(q)} \int_{-1/qQ}^{1/qQ} q(1+|\eta|X) \left| S\left(\frac{a}{q}+\eta\right)\right| d\eta \\ & \ll XQ^{-1} \sum_{q\leq P} \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{a(q)} \left(\int_{-1/qQ}^{1/qQ} d\eta\right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\int_0^1 |S(\eta)|^2 d\eta\right)^{1/2} \\ & \ll P^{6k-9}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10.1}$$

Collecting the estimates in §3.7-§3.10, we obtain that if $\tilde{\beta}$ does not exist or $G \geq (\log X)^{-0.59}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} r_1(X, n) = \mathfrak{S}(n, P)L(X, n) - \sum_{r\leq P} \sum_{\substack{\chi\in\mathscr{E}\cup\mathcal{S} \\ \chi \mod r}} T(\chi, r, n)\mathfrak{S}\left(n, \frac{P}{r}, r\right) \sum_{\substack{\rho\in\mathscr{E}_1' \\ L(\rho,\chi)=0}} L_\rho(X, n) \\ + O\left(X^{1/k}(\log X)^{-4k+5.387}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.10.2}$$

If $G \leq (\log X)^{-0.59}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} r_1(X,n) = \mathfrak{S}(n,P)L(X,n) - \sum_{r\leq P} \sum_{\substack{\chi\in\mathscr{E}\cup\mathcal{S} \\ \chi \mod r}} T(\chi,r,n)\mathfrak{S}\left(n,\frac{P}{r},r\right) \sum_{\substack{\rho\in\mathscr{E}_1' \\ L(\rho,\chi)=0}} L_\rho(X,n) \\ +O\left(X^{1/k}P^{-4k+7.9999} + X^{1/k}G^{4k-0.18}(\log X)^2\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.10.3}$$

2.11. **The Singular Series: Small Moduli.** In this section and the next, we deal with the singular series $\mathfrak{S}\left(n,\frac{P}{r},r\right)$, where $r=1$ or r is an excluded or Siegel modulus. The size of the exceptional set in our problem is heavily dependent upon the size of P/r. In other terms, in order to obtain an exceptional set of cardinality $\ll X^{1-181\log 2/1250k^3\log k}$ we must have $P/r > X^{\delta_1}$, for a suitable constant δ_1. By Li [9, (4.3)], we have for X sufficiently large

$$P/r \geq \exp\left((\log X)^{0.016}\right). \tag{2.11.1}$$

Therefore we set $P^* = P^\nu$, $\nu = \nu(k) \in [0,1]$ to be selected later, and deal with the values of $r \leq P^*$ in this section.

Set

$$\mathcal{F} = \{1, r \leq P^*, r \text{ is an excluded or Siegel modulus }\}.$$

By Lemma 9 and Lemma 10 we obtain

$$\mathfrak{S}\left(n,\frac{P}{r},r\right) = \sum_{\substack{q\leq P/r \\ \gcd(q,r)=1}} \frac{\mu(q)}{\varphi(q)} \prod_{p\mid q}(\rho_k(p,n)-1).$$

Define the multiplicative function of q as

$$A(n,q,r) = \frac{\mu(q)}{\varphi(q)}\mu\left((q,r)^2\right)\prod_{p\mid q}(\rho_k(p,n)-1).$$

By Lemma 4.3 of Vaughan [15],

$$A(n,p,r) = -\frac{1}{p-1}\mu\left((p,r)^2\right)\sum_{\substack{\chi \mod p \\ \chi\neq\chi_0 \\ \chi^k=\chi_0}} \chi(n).$$

Thus

$$A(n,p,r) = \sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{A}(p)} c(\chi)\chi(n) \tag{2.11.2}$$

where

$$|\mathcal{A}(p)| \leq k-1, \quad |c(\chi)| \leq \frac{1}{\varphi(p)}. \tag{2.11.3}$$

We shall write $R = P/r$. As in §8.6 of Vaughan [15], $\mathfrak{S}(n,R,r)$ will be approximated with a segment of the product $\prod(1+A(n,p,r))$. In order to accomplish this task, we set

$$\mathcal{D} = \{q\in\mathbb{N}^*, \mu(q)\neq 0, p\mid q \Rightarrow p\leq R\}, \tag{2.11.4}$$

and commence by first estimating

$$F(n,r) = \left|\sum_{\substack{R<q\leq V \\ q\in\mathcal{D}}} A(n,q,r)\right|, \tag{2.11.5}$$

where $V = \exp\left((\log P)^{1+\xi}\right)$, and ξ is a suitable positive real number which we shall choose later. By (2.11.2), (2.11.3), and the multiplicavity of A, we have for $q \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\psi > 0$,

$$A(n,q,r) = \sum_{\chi(q)}{}^{*} c(\chi)\chi(n) \tag{2.11.6}$$

$$|c(\chi)| \le \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \tag{2.11.7}$$

$$\sum_{\chi(q)}{}^{*} |c(\chi)|^{\psi} \le \frac{(k-1)^{\omega(q)}}{\varphi(q)^{\psi}}. \tag{2.11.8}$$

Let $Q_0 = R$, $Q_j = P^j$, $j = 1, \cdots, \left[(\log P)^{\xi}\right]$, and set $b(\chi) = c(\chi)$ if $q \in \mathcal{D}$, $R < q \le V$, and $b(\chi) = 0$ if otherwise. By (2.11.5) and (2.11.6), we get

$$F(n,R) = \left| \sum_{R<q\le V} \sum_{\chi(q)}{}^{*} b(\chi)\chi(n) \right|.$$

By Hölder's inequality we have for any $r \in \mathcal{F}$
(2.11.9)

$$\sum_{n\in[(99/100)X,X]} \left| \sum_{Q_{j-1}<q\le Q_j} \sum_{\chi(q)}{}^{*} b(\chi)\chi(n) \right| \ll X^{1/3} \left(\sum_{n\in[(99/100)X,X]} \left| \sum_{Q_{j-1}<q\le Q_j} \sum_{\chi(q)}{}^{*} b(\chi)\chi(n) \right|^{3/2} \right)^{2/3}.$$

By Zaccagnini [1, (12.8)], the right-hand side of (2.11.9) is

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll X \left(\log(X^j e)\right)^{(j^4-1)/(6j)} \left(\sum_{Q_{j-1}<q\le Q_j} \sum_{\chi(q)}{}^{*} |b(\chi)|^{(2j)/(2j-1)} \right)^{(2j-1)/(2j)} \\ &\ll X \left(\log(X^j e)\right)^{(j^4-1)/(6j)} (\log X)^2 Q_{j-1}^{-1/2j} (\log Q_j)^{(k-2)(2j-1)/(2j)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11.10}$$

By choosing $\xi = 0.1$ and summing $j = 1, \cdots, \left[(\log P)^{\xi}\right]$ and $r \in \mathcal{F}$ we thus obtain from (2.11.9) and (2.11.10),

$$\sum_{n\in[(99/100)X,X]} \sum_{r\in\mathcal{F}} F(n,r) \ll X P^{(\nu-1)/3}.$$

As a result, we have proven that $|\{n \in [(99/100)X, X]$ such that there is an $r \in \mathcal{F}$ in which $F(n,r) \ge X^{-\delta_3}\}| \ll X^{1-\delta_3}$, for a suitable constant $\delta_3 = \delta_3(k,\nu) > 0$. Applying (2.2.8) to §2 and §8 of Plaksin [17] and taking $\phi(x,y) = xy$ in Theorem 2 of Plaksin [17], we may take $\delta_3 > 181\log 2/1250k^3\log k$. Therefore, for all $n \in [(99/100)X, X]$ with $\ll X^{1-181\log 2/1250k^3\log k}$ exceptions,

$$F(n,r) \ll X^{-181\log 2/1250k^3\log k}, \tag{2.11.11}$$

for all $r \in \mathcal{F}$. We now move on to estimate

$$G(n,r) = \sum_{\substack{q>V \\ q\in\mathcal{D}}} |A(n,q,r)|. \tag{2.11.12}$$

Since by (2.11.2) and (2.11.3),

$$|A(n,p,r)| \le \frac{k-1}{\varphi(q)}, \quad \lambda = (\log P)^{-1},$$

we have

$$G(n,r) \le \sum_{q\in\mathcal{D}} \left(\frac{q}{V}\right)^{\lambda} |A(n,q,1)| \le V^{-\lambda} \prod_{p\le R} (1 + p^{\lambda}|A(n,p,1)|) \le V^{-\lambda} \prod_{p\le R} \left(1 + \frac{k-1}{p-1}p^{\lambda}\right). \tag{2.11.13}$$

However,

$$\prod_{p\le R}\left(1+\frac{k-1}{p-1}p^{\lambda}\right)\le\prod_{p\le R}\left(1+\frac{6(k-1)}{p}\right)\ll(\log P)^{6(k-1)}. \tag{2.11.14}$$

Hence from (2.11.13) and (2.11.14), we get

$$G(n,r)\ll V^{-\lambda}(\log P)^{6(k-1)}\ll\exp\{-c_{10}(\log P)^{0.1}\}. \tag{2.11.15}$$

From (2.11.11) and (2.11.15) we have for all but $\ll X^{1-181\log 2/1250k^3\log k}$ integers $n\in[(99/100)X,X]$, and all $r\in\mathcal{F}$

$$\mathfrak{S}(n,R,r)=\prod_{p\le R}\left(\frac{p-\rho_k(p,n)}{p-1}\right)\prod_{\substack{p\le R\\ p\mid r}}\left(\frac{p-1}{p-\rho_k(p,n)}\right)+O\left(\exp\{-c_{10}(\log P)^{0.1}\}\right). \tag{2.11.16}$$

We end this section by noting that by Lemma 10 and Lemma 13, for all n we obtain

$$\mathfrak{S}(n,R,r)\ll\sum_{\substack{q\le R\\ \gcd(q,r)=1}}\frac{(\mu(q))^2}{\varphi(q)}\prod_{p\mid q}|\rho_k(p,n)-1|\ll(\log R)^k. \tag{2.11.17}$$

2.12. **The Contribution of Large Moduli.** The goal of this section is to demonstrate that the contribution to the estimate of $r_1(X,n)$ in §3.10 of the excluded or Siegel moduli larger than P^* can be neglected for all $n\in[(99/100)X,X]$ but an exceptional set. In order to carry out this approach, we need a sharper estimate for $T(\chi,r,n)$ than the one in Lemma 12. From Zaccagnini [1, (3.1)], we obtain

$$T(\chi,r,n)=\frac{\tau(\overline{\chi})\tau(\chi)}{r\varphi(r)}\sigma(r,\overline{\chi},n), \tag{2.12.1}$$

where

$$\sigma(r,\chi,n)=\sum_{h\mod r}\chi(h^k-n).$$

In order to estimate $\sigma(r,\overline{\chi},n)$ we have

Lemma 24. *Let* $\chi\mod r$ *be a primitive character. Then for all but* $\ll Xr^{-3/8}$ *integers* $n\in[(99/100)X,X]$ *we have*

$$\sigma(r,\chi,n)\ll r^{1-1/7(k-1)} \tag{2.12.2}$$

uniformly for $r\le X/100$.

Proof. Lemma 24 is essentially Lemma 13.1 of Zaccagnini [1]. ■

Now we can estimate the contribution of the excluded or Siegel moduli larger than P^*. From Lemma 24 and (2.2.7) it is evident that for all but $\ll X(P^*)^{-1/4}$ integers $n\in[(99/100)X,X]$ we have that

$$\sigma(r,\overline{\chi},n)\ll r^{1-1/7(k-1)} \tag{2.12.3}$$

holds for all excluded of Siegel moduli $r\in[P^*,P]$. By Zaccagnini [1, (13.9)], we get that

$$\sum_{r\in[P^*,P]}\sum_{\substack{\chi\mod r\\ \chi\in\mathscr{E}\cup\mathcal{S}}}T(\chi,r,n)\mathfrak{S}\left(n,\frac{P}{r},r\right)\sum_{\substack{\rho\in\mathscr{E}_1'\\ L(\rho,\chi)=0}}L_\rho(X,n)\ll GX^{1/k}P^{-1/20(k-1)} \tag{2.12.4}$$

holds for all but $\ll XP^{-0.2}$ integers $n\in[(99/100)X,X]$.

2.13. **Proof of the Main Theorem.** We need two more lemmas.

Lemma 25. *For all but* $\ll X^{1-181\log 2/1250k^3\log k}$ *integers* $n \in [(99/100)X, X]$ *and all* $r \in \mathcal{F} - \{1\}$, *we have*

$$\left|T(\chi, r, n)\mathfrak{S}\left(n, \frac{P}{r}, r\right)\right| \le c_{11} \prod_{p\le P} \frac{p-\rho_k(p,n)}{p-1} + O\left(\exp\left(-c_{12}(\log P)^{0.1}\right)\right),$$

where χ *is a primitive character* $\mod r$.

Proof. Apply Lemma 14.1 of Zaccagnini [1]. ∎

Lemma 26.

$$\prod_{p\le P} \frac{p-\rho_k(p,n)}{p-1} \gg (\log P)^{-k}.$$

Proof. Lemma 26 is Lemma 14.2 of Zaccagnini [1]. ∎

From (2.5.1) and Lemma 4.1 of Li [9] we get for setting $\theta = 2\cdot 10^{-7}$

$$r_2(X,n) \ll X^{1/k} P^{-10^{-7}} \tag{2.13.1}$$

which holds for every $n \in [(99/100)X, X] - \mathcal{C}(X)$ where, as in (8.6) of Li [9],

$$|\mathcal{C}(X)| \ll X(\log X)^2 P^{-(1-10^{-6})}. \tag{2.13.2}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{A}(X) = \{n \in [(99/100)X, X] : n \in I_k \text{ and } N\left(\frac{19}{20}, 2X, \chi^{(n)}\right) > 0, \text{ or } n = m^k\} \tag{2.13.3}$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}(X) = [(99/100)X, X] - \mathcal{A}(X). \tag{2.13.4}$$

By (2.10.2), (2.10.3), and (2.12.4), we have for every $n \in \mathcal{B}(X)$,

$$\begin{aligned} r_1(X,n) &= \mathfrak{S}(n,P)L(X,n) - T(\tilde{\chi}, \tilde{r}, n)\mathfrak{S}\left(n, \frac{P}{\tilde{r}}, \tilde{r}\right) L_{\tilde{\beta}}(X,n) \\ &- \sum_{r\le P} \sum_{\substack{\chi \mod r \\ \chi\in\mathscr{E}}} T(\chi, r, n)\mathfrak{S}\left(n, \frac{P}{r}, r\right) \sum_{\substack{\rho\in\mathscr{E}_1'-\mathcal{S}' \\ L(\rho,\chi)=0}} L_\rho(X,n) + O\left(X^{1/k}(\log X)^{-4k+5.387}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.13.5}$$

Keep in mind that the term containing the Siegel zero must be deleted whenever $\tilde{r} > P^* = P^{4/5}$ or $\tilde{r}$ does not exist.

From (2.11.16) and Lemma 25 and Lemma 26 we obtain that

$$\mathfrak{S}(n,P) \ge \frac{1}{2}\prod_{p\le P}\frac{p-\rho_k(p,n)}{p-1} \tag{2.13.6}$$

and

$$\left|T(\chi, r, n)\mathfrak{S}\left(n, \frac{P}{r}, r\right)\right| \le 2c_{11}\prod_{p\le P}\frac{p-\rho_k(p,n)}{p-1} \tag{2.13.7}$$

for $r \in \mathcal{F} - \{1\}$ if P is sufficiently large. Hence from Li [9, (8.23)] (which is analagous to Lemma 23) and (2.13.5)-(2.13.7), for all $n \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} r_1(X,n) \ge \frac{1}{2}\prod_{p\le P}\frac{p-\rho_k(p,n)}{p-1}\left(c_6 G X^{1/k} - 4c_{11}\sum_{r\le P^*}\sum_{\substack{\chi \mod r \\ \chi\in\mathscr{E}}}\sum_{\substack{\rho\in\mathscr{E}_1'-\mathcal{S}' \\ L(\rho,\chi)=0}} |L_\rho(X,n)|\right) \\ +O\left(X^{1/k}(\log X)^{-4k+5.387}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.13.8}$$

By applying the stronger result of Li [9, (8.12)] it follows from Lemma 13 of Brünner, Perelli, and Pintz [14],

$$\begin{aligned} 4c_{11}\sum_{r\le P^*}\sum_{\substack{\chi \mod r\\ \chi\in\mathscr{E}}}\sum_{\substack{\rho\in\mathscr{E}_1'-\mathcal{S}'\\ L(\rho,\chi)=0}} &\le 0.84c_{11}X^{1/k}\sum_{r\le P^*}\sum_{\substack{\chi\in\mathscr{E}\\ \chi \mod r}}\sum_{\substack{\rho\in\mathscr{E}_1'-\mathcal{S}'\\ |\gamma|\le P^{4k-7.9999}}}\left(\frac{X}{2}\right)^{\beta-1} \\ &\le 0.84c_{11}X^{1/k}\sum_{r\le P^*}\sum_{\substack{\chi\in\mathscr{E}\\ \chi \mod r}}\sum_{\substack{\rho\in\mathscr{E}_1'-\mathcal{S}'\\ |\gamma|\le P^{4k-7.9999}}}\left(\frac{X}{2}\right)^{\beta-1}+O(X^{1/k}P^{-4k+7.9999}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.13.9}$$

By Lemma 6 and by Li [9, (8.13)],

$$\sum_{r\le P^*}\sum_{\substack{\chi\in\mathscr{E}\\ \chi \mod r}}\sum_{\substack{\rho\in\mathscr{E}_1'-\mathcal{S}'\\ |\gamma|\le P^{4k-7.9999}}}\left(\frac{X}{2}\right)^{\beta-1}\le 0.1. \tag{2.13.10}$$

Moreover, by Lemma 3.1 of Zaccagnini [1] and [1, (3.1)], if the Siegel zero $\tilde{\beta}$ exists, it satisfies

$$G\ge\frac{c_{13}}{P^{\lambda/2}\log X}. \tag{2.13.11}$$

From (2.13.8)-(2.13.11) and Lemma 26 we obtain

$$r_1(X,n)\gg\frac{GX^{1/k}}{(\log X)^k} \tag{2.13.12}$$

for every $n\in\mathcal{B}(X)$.

By Lemma 16 and Lemma 22 we have that $\lambda=\frac{2k+1}{s}$ and $s>ck^2\log k$. Choosing s such that

$$\lambda=\frac{2k+1}{s}\le\frac{\theta}{2},$$

from (2.2.11), (2.13.1), (2.13.3), (2.13.4), (2.13.11), and (2.13.12), we obtain that

$$r_k(X,n)\gg\frac{GX^{1/k}}{(\log X)^k} \tag{2.13.13}$$

for all but $\ll_k X^{1-181\log 2/1250k^3\log k}$ integers $n\in[(99/100)X,X]$. Theorem 3 follows by splitting the interval $[1,X]$ into intervals of type $[(99/100)t,t]$.

3. Possible Improvement to Theorem 3

Before proceeding further, we should caution the reader that this section should not be treated with the same rigor as with the previous sections. This section is only meant to outline possible unconditional improvements to our results for the exceptional set of the sum of a prime and a k-th power problem which will be fully discoursed upon in a later paper.

Our discussion follows from parts of Pintz's seminal arguments in [11] regarding (unpublished) improvements to the exceptional set of the Binary Goldbach Conjecture. Unfortunately, Pintz is "far from a written version of the proof" [3] of his results. Thus, we also provide in this section anticipation for these unpublished results.

Naturally, since the Goldbach problem is analogous to our problem (although the BGC is less involved), our estimates will be derived from improvements to the BGC. The main improvement lies in the "structure" of the set $\mathscr{E}$, and as the reader may have noticed in §3, our methods leading to the result $E_k(X)\ll_k X^{1-181\log 2/1250k^3\log k}$ did not contain any additional information regarding this structure.

It is important to note that a concrete description of $\mathscr{E}$ is difficult to obtain because it is not even known if it is a finite or infinite set. If we let

$$\mathscr{E}(X)=\{n\in\mathscr{E},n\le X\}, \tag{3.0.14}$$

then we can describe the set $\mathscr{E}_0(X) \subseteq [1, X]$ as satisfying

$$\mathscr{E}(X) \subseteq \mathscr{E}_0(X). \tag{3.0.15}$$

We can consider the set $\mathscr{E}_0(X)$ as a "black box" that contains the exceptional set $\mathscr{E}(X)$. A more precise method would be to have two sets $\mathscr{E}_1(X)$ and $\mathscr{E}_2(X)$ that depend upon X with

$$\mathscr{E}_0(X) = \mathscr{E}_1(X) \cup \mathscr{E}_2(X). \tag{3.0.16}$$

Although the structure of $\mathscr{E}_2(X)$ is rather onerous to define, we will have to show that it is a thin set. However, the structure of $\mathscr{E}_1(X)$ can be determined. Essentially, it is a union of a bounded number of arithmetic progressions which may vary for $X \to \infty$ but their number will remain below an absolute constant. These differences lie in a relationship with moduli r_i of primitive characters χ_i, in which the $\mathcal{L}$-functions vanish in the rectangle

$$\sigma \geq 1 - \frac{C_1}{\log X}, \quad |t| \leq C_2, \tag{3.0.17}$$

for absolute constants $C_1 > 0$ and $C_2 > 0$.

Our method will utilize the circle method as described in §3.2, and $r_k(X, n)$ will be decomposed into major and minor arcs as described in §3.5 and §3.6. Although in general there is no precise method in evaluating the contribution of minor arcs, an estimate of the average of $|r_2(X, n)|$ is sufficient for estimating the set $\mathscr{E}$. As demonstrated in §3.5, this average can be computed by Parseval's identity, yielding

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(99/100)X \leq n < X} r_2(X, n)^2 \leq \int_m |F_k(\alpha) S(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \leq \int_0^1 |S(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \cdot \sup_{\alpha \in m} |F_k(\alpha)|^2 \\ \ll X \log X \cdot \sup_{\alpha \in m} |F_k(\alpha)|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.0.18}$$

which led to the estimate

$$\sum_{(99/100)X \leq n < X} r_2(X, n)^2 \ll \frac{X^{1+2/k}}{P^{2\theta}} \log X. \tag{3.0.19}$$

The difficulty that now comes about is that what the largest value of P can be to guarantee an asymptotic evaluation for $r_1(X, n)$ with the most optimal error term. As demonstrated in [11, (15.11)], for an arbitrary positive constant A, Siegel's theorem yields

$$P = (\log X)^A. \tag{3.0.20}$$

Furthermore, for a small constant $\delta = \delta(k) > 0$, the deep theorem of Gallagher shows a strong *uniform* distribution of primes in all arithmetic progressions with differences $q \leq X^{\delta(k)}$. As a result,

$$P = X^{\delta(k)}, \tag{3.0.21}$$

thereby re-substantiating Zaccagnini's result [1] that $E_k(X) \ll_k X^{1-\delta(k)}$.

Pintz [11] also shows that for sufficiently large constants H and T, it is possible to obtain an *exact evaluation* of the effect of all exceptional zeros

$$\rho = 1 - \delta_i + i\gamma_i; \quad \delta \leq \frac{H}{\log X}, \quad |\gamma_i| \leq T \tag{3.0.22}$$

of all $\mathcal{L}$-functions with primitive exceptional characters χ_i belonging to the exceptional moduli

$$r_i \leq P, \quad P \leq X^{4/9-\epsilon}. \tag{3.0.23}$$

The result of Jutila [11, (15.15)]

$$N^*(1 - \delta, P, T) = \sum_{q \leq P} \sum_{\substack{\chi(q) \\ \chi \text{ primitive}}}{}^{*} \sum_{\substack{\rho = \rho_\chi \\ \beta \geq 1-\delta, \quad |\gamma| \leq T}} 1 \ll (P^2 T)^{(2+\epsilon)\delta} \tag{3.0.24}$$

tells us that the cardinality K of the set $\mathscr{E}(H,T)$ of zeros in the domain given in (3.0.22) satisfies

$$0 \leq K \leq C_6 \exp(4H) \quad \text{if } T \leq P \leq X^{1/2}, \tag{3.0.25}$$

for an absolute constant C_6.
Thus, in order to prove that $E_k(X) \ll_k X^{1-1/k}$, it suffices to prove a theorem that implies the "structure" of the exceptional set $\mathscr{E}(X)$.

Theorem 27 (Weak Structural Theorem)**.** *Let* $\mathcal{A}_d = \{md\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$*, then there are absolute constants* $c_7(k) > 0$ *and* $K > 0$*, and a set* $\mathscr{E}_0(X) = \mathscr{E}_1(X) \cup \mathscr{E}_2(X) \supset \mathscr{E}(X)$ *with*

$$|\mathscr{E}_2(X)| \ll_k X^{\delta}, \quad \mathscr{E}_1(X) = \bigcup_{\nu=1}^{K} \mathcal{A}_{d_\nu}, d_\nu > X^{c_7(k)} \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \cdots, K), \tag{3.0.26}$$

where the exponent δ *is slightly better than* $1 - 1/k$*.*

We will prove Theorem 27 as well as an explicit computation of $c_7(k)$ in a sequel to this paper.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Professor A. Perelli, Professor A. Languasco, and Professor J. Pintz for their helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. A. Zaccagnini. "On the exceptional set for the sum of a prime and a k-th power." *Mathematika* **39** (1992): 400-421.
2. Chengdong Pan and Chengbiao Pan. "Goldbach Conjecture." *Science Press* Beijing 1992.
3. Emails exchanged between A. Nayebi and J. Pintz.
4. G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood. "Some of the problems of partitio numerorum III: on the expression of a large number as a sum of primes." *Acta Math.* **44** (1923): 1-70.
5. H. Davenport, H. Heilbronn. "Note on a result in the additive theory of numbers." *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **43** (1937): 142-151.
6. H. Davenport. "Multiplicative Number Theory." Springer Verlag, 1980.
7. H. Halberstam and H. E. Richert. *Sieve Methods*, Academic Press, London, New York, San Francisco, 1974.
8. H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan. "The exceptional set in Goldbach's problem." *Acta Arith.* **27** (1975): 353-370.
9. Hongze Li. "The exceptional set for the sum of a prime and a square." *Acta Math. Hungar.* **99** (2003): 123-141.
10. Hongze Li. "The Exceptional Set of Goldbach numbers (II)." *Acta Arith.* **92** (2000): 71-88.
11. J. Pintz. "Recent Results on the Goldbach conjecture." *Elementare und Analytische Zahlentheorie* (*Tagungsband*), *Proceedings ELAZ-Conference.* (2006): 220-254.
12. K. Kawada. "On the Asymptotic Formula for the Number of Representations of Numbers as the Sum of a Prime and a k-th Power." *Proc. Japan Acad.* **69** (1993): 283-286.
13. P. X. Gallagher. "A large sieve density estimate near $\sigma = 1$" *Invent. Math.* **11** (1970): 329-339.
14. R. Brünner, A. Perelli, and J. Pintz. "The exceptional set for the sum of a prime and a square." *Acta Math. Hung.* **53** (1989): 347-365.
15. R. C. Vaughan. "The Hardy-Littlewood Method." *Cambridge University Press*, 1981.
16. R. J. Miech. "On the equation $n = p + x^2$." *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **130** (1968): 494-512.
17. V. A. Plaksin. "On a question of Hua Loo-Keng." *Mat. Zametski* **47** (1990): 78-90.
18. Wang Tianze. "On the Exceptional Set for the Equation $n = p + k^2$." *Acta Math. Sin.* **11** (1995): 156-167.
19. A. Zaccagnini. "Additive Problems with Prime Numbers." *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino* **4** (1995): 471-486.

E-mail address: `aran.nayebi@gmail.com`